

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

LAUREN R. GOLDMAN (*pro hac vice*)

lgoldman@gibsondunn.com

DARCY C. HARRIS (*pro hac vice*)

dharris@gibsondunn.com

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

Telephone: (212) 351-4000

Facsimile: (212) 351-4035

ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY, SBN 268184

emccloskey@gibsondunn.com

ABIGAIL A. BARRERA, SBN 301746

abarrera@gibsondunn.com

One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: (415) 393-8200

Facsimile: (415) 393-8306

NATALIE J. HAUSKNECHT (*pro hac vice*)

nhausknecht@gibsondunn.com

1900 Lawrence Street Suite 3000

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (303) 298-5783

Facsimile: (303) 313-2800

Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

IN RE META PIXEL TAX FILING CASES

Case No. 5:22-cv-07557-PCP (VKD)

**DECLARATION OF LAUREN R.
GOLDMAN IN SUPPORT OF META'S
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

This Document Relates To:

Hon. P. Casey Pitts

All Actions

1 I, Lauren R. Goldman, state and declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of New York. I am a partner at the law
3 firm Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, counsel of record for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. (“Meta”) in
4 these actions. I am admitted *pro hac vice* to practice before this Court. I am familiar with Meta’s
5 treatment of proprietary and confidential information based on my personal experience representing
6 Meta.¹ I have personal knowledge of the facts stated below and, if called as a witness, I could and
7 would testify competently thereto.

8 2. I submit this declaration under Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) in support of Meta’s
9 Administrative Motion to File Under Seal. Meta seeks to seal narrowly tailored portions of Meta’s
10 Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification and its supporting exhibits (the “Opposition
11 and Exhibits”). These redactions relate to specific, non-public information in the Opposition and
12 Exhibits that contain confidential business information about Meta’s proprietary data storage systems
13 (including the names of specific Hive tables and fields, descriptions of the contents of those Hive table
14 fields, the amount of data in Meta’s systems, and how the systems can be queried), Meta’s integrity
15 systems (including detailed, non-public information about Meta’s finance-related filter), and highly
16 confidential Source Code.

17 3. Meta is asking to seal only information which provides insight into specific non-public
18 aspects of its proprietary data storage systems, integrity systems, and highly confidential Source Code.
19 If persons or entities obtain this proprietary information, it may enable them to understand in detail
20 how Meta processes, filters, stores and/or uses data. Further, Meta’s interest in sealing proprietary,
21 competitively sensitive information or information that bad actors could use to undermine the integrity
22 of Meta’s systems and gain improper access to user data overrides any public interest in the specific
23 details Meta seeks to seal. Relevant factors in deciding whether a party’s interest in sealing overcomes
24

25 ¹ Courts in this District routinely grant motions to seal on the basis of declarations of counsel
26 submitted pursuant to Local Rule 79-5. *See, e.g., Avago Techs. Fiber IP (Singapore) PTE. Ltd., v.*
27 *IPtronics Inc.*, No. 5:10-cv-02863-EJD, Dkt Nos. 544 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2015), 545 (N.D. Cal.
28 Apr. 7, 2015); *Cisco Sys. Inc., v. OpenTV Inc.*, No. 5:13-cv-00282-EJD, Dkt. Nos. 76, 82 (N.D.
Cal. Oct. 8, 2013). I am personally familiar with Meta’s practices of safeguarding proprietary
information, including based on my experience representing Meta for many years, but if the Court
deems this declaration insufficient, Meta respectfully requests that it be permitted to file a further
declaration supporting filing under seal.

1 the public's interest in disclosure include: (1) the amount of material sought to be sealed (see *Algarin*
2 *v. Maybelline, LLC*, No. 12-CV-3000-AJB (DHB), at *3 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2014)); (2) the "public
3 interest in understanding the judicial process" (*Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 747 F. App'x
4 463, 465 (9th Cir. 2018)), and (3) "whether disclosure of the material could result in improper use of
5 the material for scandalous or libelous purposes or infringement upon trade secrets" (*Id.*). Each of
6 these factors supports sealing the material Meta is requesting to seal.

7 4. **First**, Meta seeks to seal limited portions of the Opposition and Exhibits that are
8 necessary to protect its proprietary confidential information as described above. See *Algarin*, 2014 WL
9 690410, at *3 (permitting sealing because a party "only s[ought] to seal a limited amount of
10 information").

11 5. **Second**, sealing this limited information will not impede the public's understanding of
12 the judicial process as this detailed, proprietary information is not essential to the public's
13 understanding of the merits of this dispute or this case. Meta is leaving unsealed general descriptions
14 of its proprietary data storage systems, integrity systems, and highly confidential Source Code—it only
15 seeks to protect from disclosure the highly technical and confidential details of those data storage
16 systems, integrity systems, and highly confidential Source Code that do not impede the public's
17 understanding of the merits of the case.

18 6. Disclosure of this information is likely to place Meta at a competitive disadvantage, as
19 Meta's competitors could use this sensitive information for their own gain, and bad actors could use
20 this confidential information to better understand and infiltrate the data that Meta stores, including user
21 data. For example, Meta's competitors should not benefit from the ways Meta receives, stores, uses,
22 and filters data.

23 7. Meta asks the Court to seal only those parts of the Opposition and Exhibits that reveal
24 proprietary, commercially sensitive, and confidential information about Meta's proprietary data storage
25 systems, integrity systems, and highly confidential Source Code.

26 8. Competitive harm is likely if Meta's highly confidential information is made public.
27 Meta operates in an intensely competitive marketplace and has serious and legitimate concerns that
28 competitors will exploit any release of Meta's sensitive, proprietary information to gain a competitive

1 advantage. Disclosure of the information Meta seeks to seal would provide competitors with unfair
 2 insight into how Meta's systems are designed, organized, and operate, which would cause Meta
 3 competitive harm. Absent redactions, Meta's competitors would gain access to detailed information
 4 about the technologies, analyses, and structures that give Meta a competitive advantage.

5 9. In addition, absent redactions, unsealing the Opposition and Exhibits likely would cause
 6 Meta harm because it would reveal information that bad actors could then use to access or gain insight
 7 into the data Meta receives, processes, filters, and stores; integrity systems; and highly confidential
 8 Source Code. Meta has an interest in maintaining confidentiality over information related to its systems
 9 and Source Code, and Meta takes care to protect the confidentiality of its proprietary systems and
 10 technologies. Divulging details about these systems and technologies would undermine this interest
 11 by helping third parties circumvent Meta's security measures to infiltrate or better understand Meta's
 12 systems and the data they store (including data related to users). Bad actors could use the information
 13 about where data is stored in Meta's to infiltrate and misappropriate the data in those systems.
 14 Therefore, disclosure would harm both Meta and the individuals whose data may be left more
 15 vulnerable to improper access. Meta proposes narrowly tailored redactions that would keep only its
 16 most sensitive, non-public material sealed. Meta's position regarding material that should be sealed is
 17 set forth in the following table:

18 Document	19 Portions of Document to Be Sealed	20 Designating Party	21 Reason for Redaction
22 Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.'s 23 Opposition to Plaintiffs' 24 Motion for Class Certification	25 Parts of page 4, lines 18-19, 26 21-23; page 6, lines 6, 8-10; 27 page 14, line 5; page 15, line 28 1; page 22, lines 12-15; page 24, line 2.	Meta	This text should be redacted because it reveals specific, non-public information about Meta's proprietary data storage systems, including the descriptions of the contents of a specific Hive table and how the systems can be queried. If this information were disclosed, it would competitively harm Meta and allow bad actors to undermine the integrity of Meta's systems.

Exhibit 12 to the Declaration of Abigail A. Barrera	Sealed in full.	Meta	This exhibit should be sealed in full because it contains discussion of event data including specific field names and descriptions of those fields—which is proprietary, commercially sensitive, and confidential. Public disclosure of that information—including the specific way that Meta processes, organizes, and stores event data—would place Meta at a competitive disadvantage and could allow bad actors to undermine the integrity of Meta’s systems.
Exhibit 13 to the Declaration of Abigail A. Barrera	Sealed in full.	Meta	This exhibit should be sealed in full because it contains discussion of event data including specific field names and descriptions of those fields—which is proprietary, commercially sensitive, and confidential. Public disclosure of that information—including the specific way that Meta processes, organizes, and stores event data—would place Meta at a competitive disadvantage and could allow bad actors to undermine the integrity of Meta’s systems.
Declaration of Tobias Wooldridge	Parts of page 4, lines 1-6, 8-11, 13-17, 23	Meta	This text should be redacted because it reveals specific, non-public information about Meta’s proprietary data storage systems, including the names of specific Hive tables. If this information were disclosed, it would competitively harm Meta and allow bad actors to undermine the integrity of Meta’s systems.
Rebuttal Expert Report of Georgios Zervas, PhD	Parts of page I, heading IV(A)(1); page 4, footnote 6; page 7, paragraph 12(a)(i); page 27, footnotes 90-93; page 28, figure 4, paragraph 36,	Meta	This text should be redacted because it reveals specific, non-public information about Meta’s proprietary data storage systems (including the names

	<p>footnotes 93-95; page 29, paragraphs 36-37, footnotes 96-98; page 30, paragraphs 37-39, footnotes 99-101; page 31, paragraph 39, footnotes 102-104; page 32 footnotes 105-107; page 33, footnotes 107-108; page 34 ,paragraph 42, figures 5-6, footnote 110; page 38, footnote 118; page 39, paragraphs 46-47, footnotes 122, 126-127, 129; page 40, paragraphs 47, heading A(1), paragraph 48; page 41, paragraphs 51-51, footnote 135; page 42, paragraphs 53-54; page 43, paragraph 57, footnote 140; page 44, paragraphs 57, 141; page 48, paragraph 63, footnote 158; page 50, paragraph 67; page 51, paragraphs 67-68, footnotes 167-169; page 53, footnote 176; page 55, paragraph 78, footnotes 186-187; page 56, paragraphs 78-79; page 57, paragraph 80, footnote 197; page 72, footnote 237; page 84, paragraph 266; page 85, figure 28; page 87, paragraph 112, footnote 273; page 95, footnote 297; page 97, paragraph 123, footnotes 300-302; page 98, paragraph 123.</p>		<p>of specific Hive tables), integrity systems (including how the systems work and how they were developed and detailed information about Meta’s finance-related filter), and highly confidential Source Code. If this information were disclosed, it would competitively harm Meta and allow bad actors to undermine the integrity of Meta’s systems.</p>
<p>Appendix to the Rebuttal Expert Report of Georgios Zervas, PhD</p>	<p>Parts of page D-14, paragraphs 21-23, footnotes 27-29</p>	<p>Meta</p>	<p>This text should be redacted because it reveals specific, non-public information about highly confidential Source Code, including how the Source Code can be queried. If this information were disclosed, it would competitively harm Meta and allow bad actors to undermine the integrity of Meta’s systems.</p>

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Rebuttal Report of Steven Tadelis	Parts of page I, headings IV, IV(B); page 4, paragraph 11, footnote 13; page 5, paragraph 13; page 6, paragraph 16; page 7, paragraph 16, heading IV, paragraph 17, footnotes 23-24; page 11, heading IV(B), paragraphs 23-24, footnote 34; page 12, paragraphs 25, 27; page 13, figure 2, note, source; page 14, figure 3, note, source, paragraph 28; page 15, paragraphs 28-29, footnotes 40-41.	Meta	This text should be redacted because it reveals specific, non-public information about Meta's proprietary data storage systems, including the names of specific Hive tables and fields, descriptions of the contents of those Hive table fields, the amount of data in Meta's systems, and how the systems can be queried. If this information were disclosed, it would competitively harm Meta and allow bad actors to undermine the integrity of Meta's systems.
---	---	--	------	---

11
12 10. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing
13 is true and correct. Executed this 27th day of October 2025 in New York, New York.

14
15 /s/ Lauren R. Goldman
16 Lauren R. Goldman
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28